
RUSHMOOR STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP

Notes of a meeting held on Tuesday, 27th April, 2004 at the Rushmoor Borough Council Offices at 4.00 pm.

Present:

Aldershot Garrison		Colonel Stephen Oxlade MBE (Vice-Chairman) Garrison Commander
Basingstoke Canal Authority		Mr Leigh Thornton Director
Blackwater Valley Enterprise Trust		Mr John Mitchell Chief Executive
Blackwater Valley and Hart Primary Care Trust		Ms Debbie Glenn Chief Executive
Farnborough College of Technology		Mrs Christine Davis Principal
Guildford Diocese		Reverend Father Keith Hodges
Hampshire County Council	a	County Councillor David Kirk Executive Member for Community Development and Regeneration County Councillor Adrian Collett
North East Hampshire Police Division		Superintendent Joe Apps
North Hampshire Chamber of Commerce and Industry	a	Mr John Harrocks Chief Executive
Pavilion Housing Association	a	Mr Mervyn Jones Chief Executive Ms Louise Williams Head of Neighbourhood Management and Tenancy Support
Rushmoor Borough Council		Councillor John Marsh Leader Councillor Peter Moyle (Chairman) Deputy Leader Councillor Nigel Baines Cabinet Member for Borough Services

Mr Andrew Lloyd
Chief Executive

**Rushmoor Citizens' Advice
Bureaux**

Ms Alex Hughes
District Manager

Rushmoor Voluntary Services

Ms Sally Saunderson
Chief Executive

TAG Farnborough Airport

Ms Ann Bartaby
Director of Operations and Development

In attendance:

Hampshire County Council

Mr Terry Rath
Education Department

Rushmoor Borough Council

Mr Andrew Colver
Head of Democratic Services

Mr Les Murrell
Strategy Co-ordinator (Sustainability)

Mr Tim Richings
Principal Planning Officer

Apologies for absence had been received from County Councillor David Kirk, Mr John Harrocks (North Hampshire Chamber of Commerce and Industry) and Mr Mervyn Jones (Pavilion Housing Association).

1. LSP WORKSHOP – 9TH MARCH 2004 –

The Partnership received a note of the workshop meeting held on 9th March 2004. The workshop had been facilitated by Lynn Wetenhall of Lynn Wetenhall Associates. It had focused on the roles of the Partnership and the process for developing the Community Strategy. One of the outcomes of the event had been the setting up of a multi-agency project team, comprising:

Superintendent Joe Apps
Mr Mervyn Jones
Mr John Mitchell
Colonel Stephen Oxlade MBE
Mr Terry Rath

The Chairman and Andrew Lloyd would attend as and when necessary.

2. MEMBERSHIP AND WORKING ARRANGEMENTS –

The Partnership received a paper prepared by Andrew Colver on its membership and working arrangements. A number of issues had been raised at the workshop meeting on 9th March and had been discussed by the Project Team. The Partnership then discussed and agreed the following:

Action/Decision	Who	When
The Partnership would be known as "Rushmoor Strategic Partnership".	---	---
The proposals for membership were agreed, including: - consideration to be given to a representative from the community/voluntary sector through Rushmoor Voluntary Services - representatives from large and small businesses to be sought, such as QinetiQ, CSC and North Camp Matters	Sally Saunderson/ Andrew Colver Andrew Lloyd/ John Mitchell/ Andrew Colver	July 2004 July 2004
Colonel Stephen Oxlade MBE to be appointed as the Partnership's Vice-Chairman.	---	---
An induction process be developed for new members of the Partnership	Andrew Colver	September 2004
Terms of reference be prepared for the Partnership, to be initially discussed by the Project Team.	Andrew Colver	June 2004

3. DRAFT COMMUNITY STRATEGY 2004-2016 –

The Partnership received a paper which contained a revised draft Community Strategy for Rushmoor. An initial draft had been circulated in January 2004, but as a result of the workshop held on 9th March, it had been revised to provide a broad framework setting out the strategic direction for the Borough only. The document was considerably shorter than the original draft and would be underpinned by a range of more detailed information and plans. Other documentation appended to the Strategy would include a Borough perspective and socio-economic data, priorities and action plans, quality of life indicators and a performance management framework for the Partnership.

Publication of the document would take account of the audience. It was intended that a summary would be prepared for the wider community, setting out the broad aims and the priority action areas for years 1 and 2. For stakeholder groups and other organisations who would be part of the work, a folder would be prepared. Work would shortly commence on arrangements for publication and it was proposed that these would be dealt with by the Project Team.

The Partnership discussed the Community Strategy and suggested some changes to the wording. The proposed content and approach was agreed and it was felt important that the Partnership moved to the action planning stage as soon as possible.

Action/Decision	Who	When
The Community Strategy be agreed as a basis for development for publication.	Andrew Colver	July 2004
The arrangements for publication and finalising the document be agreed by the Project Team on the basis of providing a summary for the public and a folder for stakeholder groups and organisations.	Andrew Colver	June 2004

4. IDENTIFYING AND AGREEING PRIORITIES –

The Partnership received a paper which addressed some of the issues in identifying and implementing priorities. The Project Team had held an initial discussion at a meeting on 6th April when it had considered a process and some specific priorities which could be taken forward immediately.

In terms of the process, it was proposed to establish a set of criteria/questions as a method of judging the relative value of priorities. Proposed criteria were set out in the paper, together with a process for agreeing the priorities for years 1 and 2. The Project Team had considered a sample list drawn from the work done on preparing the draft Community Strategy and this was being developed further so that it could provide a supplementary document to the Strategy. The Project Team had recognised the need to progress some specific priorities and had identified a few which could be pursued further. The four that had been identified for further work focused on encouraging high quality hotels to locate to the area, supporting initiatives to raise educational attainment, co-ordinating consultation/communication methods and supporting the work on the Aldershot Urban Extension.

The Partnership stressed the need to move forward and took the view that those priorities already suggested should be progressed by the Project Team to the action planning stage. On the priority relating to educational attainment, the importance of adopting the right approach and working with local schools was stressed. In addition, it was also suggested that a further exercise could be carried out at the next meeting in order to identify any further priorities which the Partnership may wish to progress.

Action/Decision	Who	When
Further work be carried out on the four priorities identified in order to move them to the action planning stage.	Project Team	June 2004
The criteria for establishing priorities be agreed.	---	---
Further work be undertaken to identify priorities within the framework of the Community Strategy.	Andrew Colver	July 2004

5. **FUTURE WORK –**

Andrew Colver reported on future work for the Partnership and identified arrangements for future meetings. The detailed programme would be discussed with the Project Team. David Hobbs referred to consultation papers that would be issued shortly and advised that the Partnership may wish to consider how it should respond.

Action/Decision	Who	When
Future meetings of the Partnership be arranged for - Wednesday, 29th September at 4.00 pm - Wednesday, 10th November at 4.00 pm - Other dates to be advised	---	---

The meeting closed at 5.10 pm.
